

2. In a case of neuralgia on the right side of the tongue in a woman of twenty-eight all allopathic remedies had been without benefit. Symptoms: stitches in right side of tongue, worse from slightest motion of tongue; formication sensation from tongue to head. Pains prevent turning head to right side. Tumour of hazelnut size under chin; aggravation mornings.

Spigelia 30c. was given for five days. After a week there was much improvement. In two weeks the remedy was repeated in one dose. Complete cure after two weeks.

—*The Homœopathic Recorder*, August, 1947.

WHY I AM A CONFIRMED HOMŒOPATH?

BY ALFRED PULFORD, M.D., M.H.S., F.A.C.T.S.

It is passing strange, when a man, in his right mind, will thank his Creator that he is denied the "advantages" of a college training. Why? Simply because my mind was not addled by a stereotyped training embracing so much "for sure" that is, and was not—"so." It left my mind receptive to truths, open to conviction at all times, and to investigate all things before condemning, I read, pondered and profited by the following, viz: "It is a salient characteristic of medical men of all times, and in all countries, that they have ardently followed other pursuits than that of their own profession," that learning and education may be totally divorced, that you may have a highly learned person who is totally uneducated, a little learning is a dangerous thing, highly learned men often read no better than eighth grade scholars; and, lastly, said Hippocrates: "To know is science, to merely believe we know is—Ignorance."

Science is defined: "Knowledge gained and verified by exact observation and thinking." How does that apply

to the discoveries of "miracle and wonder" drugs? Just what knowledge have the modern medical "scientists" gained, who apparently do not know that disease is not an entity, a something apart from the body that entertains it; that germs are the result, and not the cause of disease; that it takes just as much poison to kill the germs as it takes to kill the individual; that their excessive drugging produces a state in the system that breeds germs "stronger" than the ones they attempt to kill, etc. And all that passes among so-called medical "scientists" in the year of our Lord 1946, as "Knowledge gained and verified by exact observation and thinking," and in spite of the fact that it was stated recently that "it will be 15 or more years before it will be positively known that penicillin cures Syphilis." It surely is a curious mind that can complacently swallow the vaporings of a body of individuals who lay claim to a superior knowledge and profess to teach things that Nature belies their statements as shown above.

My own conception of the modern medical "scientist" is, that he is a blind, bungling, crude experimenter, as his usages, and the results of those usages, of his crude discoveries amply attest, and they confirm Marchand di Calvi's statement before the French Academy, as follows: "In medicine there is not, nor has there been for some time, either principle, faith or law, it piles up data, yet none of it has a doctrine. The most general doctrine that does exist is the doctrine of Homœopathy. That is strange and lamentable, a disgrace of medicine, but such is the fact." Now contrast that with Baron von Boenninghausen's estimate of Homœopathy: Said he, "I consider Hahnemann's doctrine a precious and inalienable jewel which should ever be jealously guarded from every impure admixture. Ever more glorious will it unfurl its banner, ever more brightly will it beam in the firmament of science, ever more full of curative virtue she shows her wonderful powers if she is not clothed in any false finery, or disfigured with any

borrowed attire or ornaments." Even the great Sir William Osler, who knew allopathy better than any one else had no faith in it.

Contrast Boenninghausen's estimate of Homœopathy with this, copied from Albany Medical Annals, allopathic, by B. and T. The article, by Dr. Spence Davis (allopath), reads as follows. "Born of Ignorance—Begotten by Superstition out of Chicanery—Suckled by Blind Faith, the half sister of Fanaticism—Wedded to Commercialism, the daughter of Cupidity—The parent of a motly group of nondescripts whose main virtue consists in their fecundity—This is our present day materia medica." That could well have been written as to-day. At that time a doctor was considered as "a person who put drugs, of which he knew little, into bodies of which he knew less, to cure ills of which he knew nothing." The modern medical "scientist" is constantly finding strong drugs that are no match for the strong germs, but Homœopathy finds no germ too strong for its high attenuations, and "sugar pills" require no painful hypodermics to aid in their administration. All of which cannot be said of "miracle and wonder drugs."

About 62 years ago, sans literary training, I decided to study and practise medicine. I was brought up under allopathy, therefore prejudiced in its favour. Watching cases treated side by side, allopathy versus Homœopathy, a blind man could not help seeing the difference. From those observations I concluded I would do two things, viz. First, practise strict Homœopathy, which I have consistently done; second, learn all that I possibly could about the construction and working of the human body, the nature and basic cause of all its diseases; exactly how disease was cured, and what did the real curing; the real role of the drug in its aid in bringing about the cure; the real reason for the Law to be accurately followed and what it absolutely demanded and why; why, in the proving, each drug gave off its own positive drug pathogenesis, also of what the end pathological products were composed, etc. In quest

of those searches I have been able to give better accounts than any I have ever seen thus far, and which causes me to have little or no faith in the vagaries of modern medical "science."

A lack of knowledge of the above is what causes the allopath, and our half-breed homœopaths to stagger at the high attenuations of our remedies and quibble over the amount of drug substance in those attenuations! This comes about because the objectors do not know just what does the real curing. This I have readily solved by learning that it is not the drug that does the real curing, but the Vital Force. It is the lack of that factor that is the cause of all incurable diseases. It is the breaking of the lines of communication between the central control organization of the body and the part affected that stops the necessary flow of that factor, that causes the body to disintegrate and die. Then why the drug, and what is its office? The drug is required to furnish cells to repair the lines of communication, and since those lines are each composed of a distinct grade of basic cells, drugs composed of accurately similar basic cells must be applied. Now if we only had brains perfect enough to realise the size of those basic cells required we would not quarrel over the size of the dose and the amount of material drug prescribed. Drugs can neither be diluted nor potentized, the process merely reduces the amount of drug cells but does not alter the drug substance. That is a distinction with a decided difference.

When one considers that all the lines of communication must meet at a single given point, from every vestige of the body, no human mind can possibly grasp the size of those basic cells, yet they must be material to carry the messages and flow of Vital Force.

When I sit down to read and contemplate what blind, ignorant, mis-named "scientific" discoveries, and experimentations have done to suffering humanity, it leaves me

no alternative than to turn to real scientific Homœopathy, where I found a haven where I could dwell in peace, and do the greatest amount of good to suffering humanity who reposed their implicit faith in me, and in which I found order in place of chaos: a system based on natural law, a Law that never changes, a Law in sharp contrast to the "trial and error method and blind experimental method of modern medical "science." Homœopathy is scientific because it knows how to go about selection of its remedies to aid in the cure of disease; it does its work rapidly, pleasantly and effectively; the child does not have to be held or punished to get it to take its sugar pills; it cures disease right from its inception, does not have to wait until the disease is fully established to make a diagnostic (guess), thereby saves suffering, time and expense, something for one to think over.

—*Health through Homœopathy, Jan., Feb., 1947.*

THE INFINITESIMAL DOSE

C. D. EWART

In order to judge fairly of the truth of a proposition which conflicts with existing beliefs, it is essential to divest the mind of prejudice—a difficult task. The usual reaction to a proposition which challenges existing notions is one of sheer disbelief or ridicule. This is true of the infinitesimal dose employed by the Homœopath. I must frankly admit that I shared in this disbelief, and is proved a great stumbling block to me.

At school, I had imbibed the element of the atomic theory, and believed that matter consisted of small particles (atoms or molecules) which could not be divided without losing the characteristics of the original substance. It followed from this that if you diluted a solution of a given