

subjective data are the most important. Animals cannot give them. That is one reason why Homœopathy uses humans and not animals.

Vivisection generally harms animals. Remedy proving on healthy volunteer provers as carried out in Homœopathy leaves the provers the better for the experience, so that persons may be properly advised to undergo provings for their own good.

Information derived from vivisection is transitory, always needing revision, never stable. Information derived from remedy proving is unchanging.

—*The Layman Speaks, Oct., '57*

HOMŒOPATHIC NEWS

HOMŒOPATHY AS MEDICAL SCIENCE

Clarification of Govt. Stand urged

Mr. S. Aravamuda Ayyangar, a former Minister of Hyderabad today suggested to the doctors practising Homœopathy, Unani and Ayurveda systems of medicine to migrate to the rural areas where the people lacked basic medical aid.

He was inaugurating the two-day homœopathic convention here on the 205th birthday anniversary of Dr. Hahnemann, Founder of Homœopathy.

Dr. D. N. Chatterjee, Principal, D. N. De Homœopathic Medical College and Hospital, Calcutta, presided.

In his Presidential address Dr. Chatterjee said the basic question that arose about homœopathy in India today was whether the system had any intrinsic merits and deserved to be considered a branch of the medical science which could cure and prevent diseases. The answer to this question should form the pivot round which the whole policy of our national Govern-

ment should turn. If the system had no scientific base to stand on, let them say it categorically. But if they thought otherwise let them act boldly.

The Government, Dr. Chatterjee said, had more than 12 years to investigate the scientific truths about Homœopathy and the fact that they seemed to be pursuing a policy of founding the State Faculty of Homœopathy in every State, showed that they had recognised Homœopathy as a scientific system of treatment of diseases. If this was true, why discriminatory treatment to homœopathy alone, he asked.

—A. B. Patrika, 11.4.60

SOME OF DR. J. T. KENT'S CASES

(Continued from page 283)

headache but it was a headache worse in the dark. It was supposed by the eminent specialist to be due to Endometritis, but as a fact the woman was sick ; her uterus did not make her sick. What a profound thought, when the doctor tells his patient that the uterus makes her sick.

—*Health & You, May, '58*
