Launceston Examiner (Tas.: 1842 - 1899), Thursday 27 March 1890, page 3

SCIENCE P. IIOMiEO PATIY. Ssa,-In your issue of Saturday last "Science v. Homosopathy's" letter calls fdr some little comment. HI commences by saying that it is a "sham" for me to quote uich a OH?O as Lander Brunton. Th'lat is his opinion. lie then mentions epmething aousheet "suffusing my face." In reference to this, I may say that my face is never suffused whilst, as then, speaking the truth. In reference to Dr. Brunton's work on Pharmacology, etc., which our "scientific" frient(s4,eaks so much about, perlhps if h'p kanw who composed a most iu.portant plrt of thah work(nameily,the "sd'Iex't),he would not be so ready to iuphold it. Where did Dr. Bruntou collect all the various therapei. tical tips contained in his work? He began with Bartholow, H. C. Wood, Waring and Neale's "Medical Digest," thin he found that the work on which he was engaged had already been "done for him by Dr. H. Q. I. Potter, in bie "ComiarritiYe 'l'heelpeutice," published in 1860. niw hr, 'Potter was a graduate of the flomenuopathle Medical College of Missouri in 1878, and was omeo years back a member of the American Institute of Hom.co1pathy, and praotlsing homoso. pathicolly at Milwaukee. So that it is to the work of one who made himself familiar with homoapathle practice that Lander Brunton is indebted for his "Index." Having at one time intended to cancel the Index led him, he says, "to omit anu acknowledgment of my Indebtedness to Dr. Pottep, ani I lhave pleasuro in icknow. lediilng it loi" Our "'Solentific" friend will see that the 'work" he mentions is for the most part cribbed, and a very important part of same from the work of a hommcopath. I may hero say that I did not ray anything against Dr. Brunton or his work. 1 merely gave a quotation from his own speech. As for "isolating words" and thereby "misrepresenting the man," I deny hlqv.ing done such a thing. It is vey easy for a man to refute the aryinga of another, and very C.ftcn groundless refutations are made. So Is this case I am inclined to think that our "Scientific" friend has miad ai rals tt?'meot, not being prepsretl, ii called upon, to prove such o statdsiuent. However that repalsus to bhe seen. Our ", Scientific" friend goes on to maske the same statement about what he terms my "long list of ýuotations." If he has read the speeches of thlese mien I have iusted., he will ddobtless be sblo toaly slat he complains of so beingiaobent in say quotation~, vie, the "contexts." If h iAi non done so, he is not in a position to refute what I say, end th(refoe has no right to do so, and his refutations and questionings stand for nought. He then goes on to mention something about the "bright features in scientific Ipedicile." During the last fg?w yeara many

now substances and liquids have found their way into the market; no doubt thie result of "?cientitle research," at least ro our friend would say. What are the new substances, etc. P A chemlist in making ".soientilto" experiments, accidentally or otherwise, comes across some fresh product. Considering that it has been discovered whilst experimenting with a certain drug, he clasren it with that drug as a new active principle. It is then given a name, a "scientific" one no doubt, and is advertised under that name in the different medical journals. It catches the eye of the practitioner who by the way as ai rulo knowo as little about its action as the manunfae turer of its composition. Nevertheless it is given to the patient with very uncertain results, and as a rule falls into disgrace and disuse. Why P Receaie. the pra"titioner has little or no knowledge as to Lthe sphere of its action P It may be that good drugs are on this account soumetimes cast aside, whereas were they to be proved and administered when indicated they might possibly prove benefleial. Not a fiith of thse so-called new drugs ever resmain in use more than a few years. So much for the advance of "sceientiflu medicine" and the " brightness" thereof. t In conclusion I may say that I awai* the production of the proofs of my mis representations.-Yours, etc., March 26. IRAToviAr, MNuDIsNE. . -- -