CHROMATOGRAPHIC DIFFERENTIATION OF DRUGS, ARUM DRACONTIUM, ARUM MACULATUM, AND ARUM TRIPHYLLUM

P. N. VARMA, D. R. LOHAR, A. K. SATSANGI*

INTRODUCTION

The plant Arum is a perennial herb belonging to family Araceae. It is indigenous to the United States of America and Canada. Three species of the plant namely Arum dracontium, A. maculatum and A. triphyllum are used in Homocopathy for different clinical conditions. A. dracontium is used in pharyngitis and as expectorant. A. maculatum is used in inflammation, ulceration of mucus membranes, nasal polypus, as anti-irritant for nose and eyes and in catarrah. A. triphyllum is used for chronic sore throat and in glandular swellings. It has limited coverage over diphtheria and enteric fevers. Homocopathic preparations are made from roots of individual species, A. dracontium, A. maculatum and A. triphyllum using alcohol-water extracting solvent having 50%, 60% and 60% alcohol respectively.

Even when they are established as different drugs clinically, not enough work has been done so far towards differential pharmacognomic or chemical identification of the drugs or their tinetures.

Arum maculatum (whole plant) is reported to contain amines and cyanogenetic compounds; leaves contain a little nicotine, traces of other alkaloids, considerable amount of ethylamine, isobutylamine and isoamylamine. Flowers are reported to contain ϵ -& β -carotene, lycopene, lutein, dieposy- β -carotene. Enough work has not been done so far on A. dracontium and A. triphyllum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The plant material was air dried; 100 g of each of the coarsely powdered drugs was placed separately in alcohol water mixture. In case of A. dracontium in 50% alcohol and both A. maculatum and A. triphyllum in 60% alcohol. They were kept for 24 hours and then percolated out and made up to 1000 ml in each case by the solvents of the same strength. (For the present study the tineture of A. dracontium was obtained from Boericke & Tafel, Philadelphia, U.S.A.). 100 ml of the extracts of the above three plants were evaporated on water-bath to remove alcohol. The remaining aqueous portions were divided into two parts. One part of each was made alkaline with ammonia solution and then extracted with chloroform (25 ml). The chloroform layers (A-1, A-2, A-3) were separated and chromatographed for alkaloids.

The second aqueous portions were extracted with chloroform (25 ml) as such and the separated chloroform layers (B-1, B-2, B-3) from aqueous

^{*} Homocopathic Pharmacopoeia Laboratory, Government of India, Ghaziabad-201 001

TABLE							
CHROMATOGRAPHIC	RESULTS	OF	ARUM	SPECIES			

Chloroform extract (A)	Chlorolorm extract (B) Solvent same as (A); spray reagent antimony trichloride soln. U.V.		Aqueous extract (C) Solvent—n-butanol: acetic acid: water (4:1:1 v/v); spray reagent 1% aluminium chloride	
Solvent—benzene: methanol (95:5 v/v); spray reagent iodoplatinate				
0.53 violet spot	0.13 0.15	0.15 violet	0.45 0.60 0.70 0.92	blue F yellow F blue F yellow F
_	0.13 0.15	0.36 (violet)	0.45 0.70	blue F blue F
	0.92	0.60 (violet) 0.70 (blue)	0.92	yellow F
0.45 violet spot	0.13 0.15 0.35 0.92	0.02 0.36 (violet) 0.70 (blue)	0.45 0.60 0.70 0.92	blue F yellow F blue F yellow F
	extract (A) Solvent—benzene: methanol (95:5 v/v); spray reagent iodoplatinate 0.53 violet spot	extract (A) Solvent—benzene: Solven methanol spray (95:5 v/v); antime spray reagent iodoplatinate U.V. 0.53 violet spot 0.13 0.15	extract (A) extract (B) Solvent—benzene: Solvent same as (A); methanol spray reagent (95:5 v/v); antimony trichloride spray reagent soln. iodoplatinate U.V. 0.53 violet spot 0.13 0.15 0.15 violet	extract (A) extract (B) extract (B) Solvent—benzene: Solvent same as (A); solvent methanol spray reagent acetic (95:5 v/v); antimony trichloride water spray reagent soln. spray iodoplatinate U.V. alumin 0.53 violet spot 0.13 0.45 0.15 0.15 violet 0.60 0.70 0.92

layers (C-1, C-2, C-3) were also chromatographed. The chromatography was done on silica gel 'G' plate (200 m μ , 30 x 10 cm, B.D.H.) using benzene; methanol (95:5 v/v) as mobile phase for chloroform extracts of series A & B and n-butanol: acetic acid: water (4:1:1 v/v) for aqueous layers of series C and sprayed with iodoplatinate reagent for series A, saturated solution of antimony trichloride in chloroform for series B and 1% alcoholic aluminium chloride solution for aqueous layers of series C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chloroform layers of series A gave one violet coloured spot of Rf. 0.53 in case of A. dracontium and at Rf. 0.45 in A. triphyllum with iodoplatinate reagent, whereas A. maculatum did not give any such spot.

The chloroform layers series A & B of all the three Arum species gave two common spots at Rf. 0.13 and 0.15 under long wave UV light but A. maculatum gave one additional spot at Rf. 0.92 and A. triphyllum gave two additional spots at Rf. 0.35 and 0.92. On spraying the plates with antimony triehloride reagent one violet coloured spot at Rf. 0.15 in case of A. dracontium, three spots at Rf. 0.36 (violet), 0.60 (violet) and at 0.70 (hlue) in case of A. maculatum and three spots at Rf. 0.02 (violet), 0.36 (violet) and 0.70 (blue) in case of A. triphyllum appeared.

The aqueous extracts of series C of all the three Arum species gave three common spots at Rf. 0.45 (blue fluorescence), 0.70 (blue fluorescence) and 0.92 (yellow fluorescence), but A. dracontium and A. triphyllum gave one additional spot at Rf. 0.60 (yellow fluorescence) whereas A. maculatum did not give that spot.

From the above discussion it is concluded that all the three Arnm species, viz. A. dracontium, A. maculatum and A. triphyllum can easily be differentiated chromatographically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Boiron Laboratories, Lyon, France for providing the raw drugs of A. maculatum and A. triphyllum and to Dr. Willmar Schwabe, Karlsruhe, W. Germany for providing mother tineture of A. maculatum.

REFERENCES

- The Homozopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States, Boericke & Tafel, Philadelphia (1964), 131-134.
- Clarke, J. H.: A. Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica, Jolly friends Homocopathic Study circle, Dethi (1975), 196-199.
- 3. Boericke W.: Homocopathie Materia Medica, B. Jain Pub., N. Delhi (1981), 87.
- Trease, G. E., and Evans, W. C.: Pharmacognosy, XI Ed., Bailliete Tindall, London (1978), 338.
- 5. Stahl, E., and Kaltenbach 4: Arch. Pharm. 298 (a), 599-603.
- 6. Pennock, J. E., Hemming, F. W., and Morton, R: Biochem. J. (1962), 82, 11.
- 7. Vatadon, L. R. G., and Nummery, R. S.: Z. pflanzenphyiol (1975), 75(1), 88-94.