## SOME 'FANTASTIC' RESULTS PRODUCED BY HOMOEOPATHY\*

DR. ALFRED PULFORD, M.D.

The appended cases have been briefly rehashed, not as something new, but to show and demonstrate that that which has been dubbed by the vociferant opponents of Homoeopathy as fantastic has held up, as against that which has been held by them as scientific—which ultra-scientific has not only proven ineffectual but criminally dangerous, causing the ultra-medical scientist to ignorantly attempt to prevent that which he is ignorantly unable to cure. These cases demonstrate these things: first, those who are loudest in their readiness to proclaim the great number of limitations in Homoeopathy have another guess coming; second, those who claim the cures reported by Hahnemann are fantastic, illustonary and without foundation in fact, know nothing of the composition and construction of the human body, less about Homoeopathy, and nothing at all about how the remedy acts and just what takes place in that action; and lastly, that the method of prescribing is positively effective, as witness the following cases.

It has been said truly, "We judge others by ourselves and reason from what we know." As a consequence, inasmuch as we doubt ourselves so we doubt the veracity of others, and carry that further when we know nothing about the subject we doubt and do not know how to apply. Here are a few of those fantastic cases we can youch for.

Case I. A. S. Champaign, III. I have never seen this man. The reason he wrote me was because I cured his brother of locomotor ataxia with Platinum met. A. S. had had syphilis. After thirty years of allopathic treatment he was bedfast. Here is what he wrote: "Extremely sensitive to all impressions, to touch and especially to a draft of air. Worse exposure of hand or foot to draft of air. Want clothing well up around neck especially, pains throb, especially splinterlike, copious sweat day and night." Today this man is an active businessman. It took just four doses of Hepar to put him on his feet, given a month apart, two of the 1M and two of the 10M.

Case II. G. M., Hollis, Long Island, N. Y. Sycotic asthma, badly bungled case. Ten-year-old girl, emaciated to a skeleton, head sunk down between shoulders, bones fairly rattle: expression of despair; unable to stand dampness or live near water as they bring on unbearable attacks; < lying on left side; sensitive to night air; cough < 3 or 4 a.m., must hold chest with hands, desire to die. Report after three doses of Natrum sulph. a month apart: "No more attacks, putting on pounds; we cannot thank you enough for curing what had been a badly bungled case."

<sup>\*</sup> Read by title before I.H.A., Bureau of Clinical Medicine, June 19, 1941.

Case III. B. C. Toledo, child of four years; case of mastoiditis. Had three operations on mastoid a year apart. Attacks all began exactly the same way; woke at 11-30 p.m. out of a sound sleep and; said, "Someone stabbed me in the ear." Was called at that hour and found skin burning hot, fairly burning my fingers; pupils extremely large and brilliant, carotids throbbing violently, aversion to light and jar of bed. Single dose of Belladonna. In fifteen minutes sound asleep. No further dose and no return, now over twenty-three years.

Case IV. Mrs. N., age 40. Gallstone colic, so diagnosed by her former Cleveland doctor. Had attack regularly every month for the past two years. Said nothing but morphine would relieve them. Was called to her bedside at 8 p.m.; found her tossing about the bed in great agony, expression of great fear and anxiety, was sure she would die and begged for morphine; high fever, dry hot skin and extreme thirst. Aconite 30x., one dose. No further dose and no more attacks in over ten years.

Case V. F. N., age 35. Had had syphilis. Was struck in the eye by a splinter from a red-hot rivet. Immediately a phagedenic ulcer formed and ate out the cornea rapidly. Specialists gave no hope, said positively the eye would have to come out to save the other one. His wife, a patient of mine, did not see it that way. She brought him to me. Here is what I found: Pains < at night, < heat of the bed; siekening breath; profuse offensive night sweat which < the pain; could stand neither heat nor cold. A few doses of Merc. cor. CC. gave the young man a pair of good eyes that remained so.

Case VI. G. W. Ostcosarcoma of right upper jaw. Had had an operation, x-ray and radium, all of which failed to heal up the wound. Here is what I found: Insatiable desire for cold drinks and ice cream, the drinks being vomited as soon as warm in the stomach and followed by thirst; chest tight as from a load on it, < lying on left side, < washing hands. After four doses of Phosphorus a month apart the mouth healed up beautifully and has remained so for over ten years.

Case VII. T. P., age 8. Mastoiditis, swelling so large it pushed ear away from head. Specialist afraid to operate. In spite of the morphine given the boy to quiet his pain he cried all night, night after night. It was a typical Hepar ease. After three doses of the CC, the swelling was half gone; one dose of the 1M finished the case completely in just two weeks' time.

Case VIII. Mrs. B., age 65, case of scables and no fooling. This lady's attack came on about five years ago. Well to do, she employed the very best of allopathic talent both general and special. In spite of their very best local methods the disease persisted obstinately and her health grew worse gradually until she became so discouraged she asked a friend what she should do. She was recommended to me. From a small patch the disease had spread all over her body and instead of the acari being loyal to the only treatment that could destroy them they proceeded to grow and wax fat and increase.

Starting out on the hypothesis laid down by Drs. Adams, Bellokossy

and Siebert, that "the similimum for scabies had not yet been found hence the attenuated remedy could not possibly eradicate the cause of scabies, and that all cases treated with the attenuated remedy grew worse and the patients failed proportionately in health," etc. may I ask them and all who believe with them how they reconcile their statements with this given in the presence of Dayton Pulford by the lady who had had five years' experience with their methods? Here is the lady's own statement which Dayton can youch for: "Under my former treatment I grew worse physically, the disease, from a small patch, spread all over my body, and the treatment was anything but congenial. While your father had some trouble in finding a remedy for me, after he had found the right one I began to improve rapidly physically. in twenty-four hours the large patches began to dry up and peel off, and while new one came in their places they were smaller in area and the irritation gradually grew less." Now gentlemen, just what is the alihi? Here you have a genuine case of scabies that persistently refused to yield to your only treatment yielding to the despised attenuated internal remedy.

The cause and condition that yields the ground for the cause of scabies is cured instantly as soon as the accurately indicated remedy is applied, but the debris produced thereby is by no means removed overnight. That cause is deep and can only be reached by the attenuated remedy. In 'curing' scabies by external applications you may have killed the acari, and even suppressed the external manifestation and thereby relieved the itching, but you have by no means removed the cause that made the scabies condition possible, consequently your victim is by no means cured. I take it in the argument put forth by Drs. Adams, Bellokossy and Scibert presupposes the killing of the acari and the suppression of the eruption constitutes the cure of scabies, therefore from that angle the attenuated remedy is useless. In that I most fervently concur.

-The Homoeopathic Recorder, June 1942