

WHY HOMŒOPATHY IS NOT SO POPULAR AS ALLOPATHY

SRI CHANDRA NATH CHAKRAVORTY, CALCUTTA

Although every specific question can be countered immediately by a to-the-point answer, one would hardly agree to accept the answer to the above mentioned question to be "because it is not so popular", since such answer in no case have any relation to the background of the subject in question. So one should know the proper background without which there cannot be a justified answer to a serious question. Let us, therefore, discuss briefly as to what Homœopathy is, when the answer to the question under discussion may come out automatically.

In Homœopathy the drug and disease both are of similar nature, that is, the symptoms seen in a diseased person and the symptoms produced on a healthy man as a result of taking a particular curative drug in healthy condition will be similar. So a particular drug capable of producing diseased symptoms on a healthy man is also capable of curing a diseased man with similar symptoms. This power of the double function of a drug has been proved again and again by all possible types of human being and it is only after such 'proving' a drug is used in Homœopathy.

Now the second point that obviously emerges is, upon whom a drug is administered. Obviously the answer is a diseased person, that is a man. What is meant by man? Anatomically and Physiologically, cells are the basic elements. Innumerable cells form a tissue, various tissues form an organ, a number of organs constitute a system, and combination of different systems is nothing but the entire living entity. If one says that man is a mass of cells and tissues, it may not sound incorrect; but is it really so? Then what is our mind? What is our intelligence? What is our desire and aversion? Who controls them?

The food we take is absorbed in our intestine which con-

tributes towards the overall nutrition of our body, but what is the power that transforms all inner substances, that we consume into living cells and tissues of our body? The mystery of life force is still a mystery even in the days when man is going to take his breakfast on the earth, lunch at the moon and supper at the Mars! The life force is an energy, (If one could think energy to be a concrete substance, one would have realised easily what energy is. So the life force) and it is only to be felt, as we have to realise and accept many things through our feelings only which we cannot prove materially.

The Homœopathic potentised drugs, which carry the energy only of the medicinal substance, act on the life force being in the same qualitative plane, whilst the disease is in fact of the life force and not of the body which is only a carrier or refuge of the force. The symptoms that we observe in a diseased person are nothing but manifestations of the ultimate result of the disease. Then when the life force becomes free from a disease the manifestations on the material body exist no more.

Now let us see at a glance through a comparative chart a few points of general features of Homœopathy and Allopathy:

HOMŒOPATHY

Not fully recognised by the Government.

A drug is proved on a living healthy man (A man can describe his feelings and conditions).

A drug is derived from one particular substance.

A potentised drug acts on the life force leaving the material body free from any reaction.

There is no specific drug for a specific disease, but the drugs vary from man to man according to constitution and origin.

ALLOPATHY

Recognised Internationally.

A drug is only experimented on lower animals. (Animals cannot express their feelings and conditions).

A drug is generally a combination of many substances, which changes the qualitative action of every individual ingredient, because of the formation of a completely new substance as a result of the mixing.

A drug is never potentised and so acts and reacts on the material body.

Modern system of treatment might be termed as a 'literature-based' treatment with daily ingesting specific patent drugs. In case

HOMŒOPATHY

Therefore the art of healing depends on the merit of the physician. So in the case of failures (when the physician is actually to be blamed) people condemn this system of treatment;

Persons quite ignorant of Homœopathy criticise this system of treatment!

No wide publicity for the information of common man is made as to what Homœopathy is.

This system of treatment has no pomp and grandeur. If a patient dies, people will say, that the patient died uncared for!

A patient gets impatient if the treatment continues over a month or two for even a chronic case.

There are a thousand and one doctors (?) whose depth knowledge is limited to the condensed *Materia Medica* or the *Handbook of Medicines*, whose indiscriminate use of Medicines and innumerable failures to cure a patient has left an incurable scar upon the good name of Homœopathy.

ALLOPATHY

of failures, people will say—"as ill luck would have it" and the physicians will put forward learned explanation as to why the patient died turning his family members spellbound. People will have the consolation that their relation died in the hands of a "master doctor"!

Persons quite ignorant of Allopathy appreciate highly of the system of treatment!

World-wide heavy booming is carried out even for the most reactional drug.

Full of pomp and grandeur. If a patient dies, there is consolation for his family members that everything possible was tried, i.e. examination of blood, stool, urine etc. X-Ray, Oxygen cylinder, injections, capsules and what not. They feel proud to describe going through such an expensive treatment, though the patient concerned may die and they ultimately become insolvent!

A patient waits, as before and after, for years together and expect the cure the very other week.

There is no scope for such type of treatment.

(Contd. on Page 96)

SIMILIA SIMILIBUS CURENTUR*(Contd. from Page 84)*

3. His observations are recorded in:
 - "Fragmenta de Viribus Medicamentorum Positivis," 1805.
 - "Materia Medica Pura," 1811-1821.
 - "Chronic Diseases," 1828.
4. Paragraphs refer to those in Hahnemann's "Organon of Medicine," VI Ed., translated by Boericke.
5. Early work is critically examined by a committee under Sir Thomas Horder and published in a pamphlet by Bale, Sons and Danielsson Ltd., in 1925. Dr. Boyd's report is in *The British Homœopathic Journal*, 44, 1.
6. Personal communication, December 1957.
7. "Influences of Infinitesimal Doses on Elimination Kinetics," by Lise Wurmser.

*—Homœopathy, June 1958.***WHY HOMŒOPATHY IS NOT SO POPULAR
AS ALLOPATHY***(Cont. from Page 91)*

Students have no future prospect but to try their luck in establishing themselves as practitioners.

Medicines being similar acts in a harmonious manner with the life force and the sick person is restored to health without leaving any trace.

Even a most inefficient doctor becomes a Health Officer in a big firm or State enterprises.

Medicines being dis-similar (Allos) Act only on the material body and suppress the cause of the suffering (pathos) temporarily. That is why Allos+pathos= Allopathy.

—The D. N. De Homœo. Med. College Re-Union Magazine, Sept. '61.