

"No matter, whether one seeks to advance through anatomic investigation of the diseased, or another through clinical observation of the processes, a third through pathological, and a fourth by therapeutic experimentation, or one through chemical or physical, and still another through historical research, science is big enough to be exclusive, provided they do not transgress their limitations, provided they do not claim to perform everything. Extravagant promises always have resulted in harm, exaggerated pretensions always injured, self overestimation always has offended or else made a laughing stock of itself."

In my estimation, medical science should have space for homœopathy. In that case we must, of course, likewise expect of homœopathic physicians that they subscribe to the second half of Virchow's dictum, which many of them fail to do.

HOMŒOPATHY AND CHRONIC DISEASES

(Continued from page 258)

September 21, 1958, at which time the eye was still severely inflamed, a flocculation test was performed with *Kalmia latifolia* reacting well in both 3x and 6x. This remedy was prescribed in the 6x, and the inflammation of the eye subsided rapidly with good recovery. There was also an improvement in the arthritis.

Since then he has continued taking *Kalmia* 6x as a remedy and the arthritis has continued to improve, although he is far from being recovered from this chronic condition.

This case shows how the eye complication led to the selection of a remedy that was proved by the flocculation test and was equally well indicated for both the iridocyclitis and the arthritis.

—*Journal of Am. Inst. of Homœopathy, Mar.-Apr., 1960.*
