MEDICINAL PLANTS IDENTIFICATION & CULTIVATION FOR QUALITY MANUFACTURING OF HOMOEOPATHIC DRUGS

D. Suresh Baburaj

ABSTRACT

A large number of drugs in the Homoeopathic system of medicine are derived from plants. Many drugs are plants used as such or their derivatives by way of active principles. Usage of plants calls for strict adherence to pharmacopoeial standards and proper identification of plants for maximum pharmaceutical efficacy. Departure from such guidelines can result in palpable mistakes and consequent degradation in quality and therapeutic efficacy as well as loss of reputation for the manufacturer. Problems and pitfalls to be avoided are highlighted in this paper.

Introduction

Preparation of Homoeopathic medicines, especially those derived from plants should follow the guidelines and premises, following provings by stalwarts of yesteryears (Elias 1989; Julian, 1984). When original provings are studied, adherence, as close as possible to plant material from places cultivated, seasons in which to be harvested and the mode of preparations of mother tinctures or any other allied pharmaceutical products, would ensure, not only the production of high quality medicines as such, but would also vastly improve their therapeutic efficacy (Mandal & Mandal, 1994; Reddy 1995). When considering the usage of plants in preparing Homoeopathic medicines, relaxation of certain guidelines of preparations, ignoring of specific criteria for selection of plants (like the season of collection), as well as improvisation by substitutions and many other de novo practices have been adapted. The all round impact of such variables is yet to be assessed fully. A palpable outcome of these departures from well-trodden standard procedures would be the lowering of drug action or curative value, which would ultimately have a telling effect on the reputation of the system or the prescribing physician. Some of the lacunae in the preparation of drugs derived from plants useful in Homoeopathy are highlighted in this review. Methodologies are being evolved to detect these either at the level of the pharmacognostic stage, or the stage of prepared mother tincture or lastly at the

therapeutic stage. It is a fact that many of the dictums and rules are observed more in the breach. Rectifying these by personnel well trained in phyto-taxonomy and quality control would be the satisfactory aim as part of good manufacturing practices as applicable to Homoeopathic drugs (Muzumdar, 1991).

1. Drugs prepared from fresh materials

Plants containing a large amount of juice are included in this category (Organon of Medicine 5th edition). In the Homoeopathic system a unique problem is encountered in that many of the plants of this category grow only in Europe. If we are to become self sufficient by cultivating these plants in India, it can be only at the higher altitudinal areas, where similar climatological conditions can be reduplicated. A corollary to the above rule is that mother tinctures will have to be prepared in situ. At present, from the Homoeopathic drug manufacturers present in India, how many follow this dictum is a moot question. In the absence of cultivating of these plants in India, we are forced to import these medicines, from abroad at prohibitive costs and as to the genuineness and quality of such imported medicines we are not in a position to control any of these factors.

The plants useful in each category are listed with reference to their parts used.

CLASS - I (plants with maximum amount of juice)

Whole plant

Achillea millefolium, Aconitum napellus, Anagallis arvensis, Bellis perennis, Capsella bursa-pastoris, Conium maculatum, Cynodon dactylon, Matricaria chamomilla, Petroselinum sativum, Solanum nigrum, Taraxacum officinale, Verbascum thapsus.

Flowers

Calendula officinalis, Musa paradisiaca

Leaves

Aegle marmelos, Calendula officinalis, Cephalandra indica, Digitalis purpurea, Sambucus nigra

Root

Arum maculatum, Cicuta virosa, Rumex crispus

CLASS II (with medium amount of juice)

Plants used in this category include those, which are used fresh immediately after harvesting, or in other cases, allowed to dry.

Whole plant with/without roots

Capsella bursa-pastoris, Euphrasia officinalis, Thymus serpyllum, Vinca minor, Viola tricolor

Leaves

Nerium indicum, Rhus toxicodendron, Thuja occidentals, Viscum album

Roots (Specified as fresh)

Symphytum officinale

Buds/Flowers

Humulus Iupulus, Prunus spinosa

As opposed to the above, certain drugs utilizing parts of plant such as bark are mandatory allowed to dry and then the mother tinctures are prepared from them. These include:

Bark

Alstonia scholaris, Azadirachta indica, Cinchona officinalis, Cinnamomum verum, Saraca asoca, Terminalia arjuna, Calotropis gigantea, Cephaelis ipecacuanha, Centella asiatica.

Roots

Valerina officinalis

2. Nomenclature Changes

Homoeopathic system of medicine is unique in using only one plant (mono-herbal preparations), for a number of

formulations with Latin binomial nomenclature, as the standard drug name itself. We can appreciate this better because the founder, Dr. Christian Samuel Hahnemann, was a contemporary of many of the founding fathers of botanical nomenclature, such as Carolus Linnaeus. Many of the writers of the Materia Medica were also great naturalists and scientists who followed the scientific advancement of their period and incorporated the botanical names at the time validly and effectively published. However, due to advancement of knowledge in the botanical field of taxonomy, the concepts and protologues making up the understanding many of these medicinal plants and their products also changed necessitating name changes. So far two papers on nomenclature changes have been published.

A few examples are given below:

Valid Name	Homoeopathic Name
Aphanamixis polystachya	Amoora rohituka
Cannabis sativa	Cannabis indica
Capsella bursa-pastoris	Thlaspi bursa-pastoris
Centella asiatica	Hydrocotyle asiatica
Glycosmis pentaphylla	Atista indica
Mallotus philippensis	Rottlera tinctoria
Petroselinum crispum	Apium petroselinum
	Petroselinum sativum
	Carum petroselinum
Saracen asoca	Janosia asoca(sic). (Jonesia asoca)
Senecio bicolor ssp. cineraria	Cineraria maritima
Silybum marianum	Carduus marianus

3. Substitutes

Substitutes of plant drugs in Homoeopathy are usually allied species, or those which are substituted by indigenous species versus exotics. They are listed separately. It is to be stressed, a comprehensive proving as to the therapeutic efficacy of many of these plants is yet to be worked out.

S.N	lo. Plant Used	Substitute
1	Aesculus hippocastanum	Aesculus indicum
2	Ailanthus altissima	Ailanthus exselsa
3	Argemone mexicana	Argemone ochroleuca

5	S.No. Plant Used	Substitute
4	Artemisia vulgaris	Artemisia nilagirica
5		Berberis tinctoria
6		Tagetus erecta & T.patula
7	Calotropis gigantea	Calotropis procera
8		Cinchona succirubra
9	Cinnomomum verum	
10	O Cissampelos pareira	Cissampelos pereira var hirsuta Stephania japonica
1:	1 Citrus aurantium var. bigaradia	Citrus aurantium var. aurantium
12	2 Citrus limonum	Citrus aurantifolia
13	B Cyclamen europaeun	
14	-phodra gorardiana	Ephedra foliolata
15	-quiotain diverise	Equisetum debile
16	giobalas	Eucalyptus other species
17	Enogormani purga	Mirabilis jalapa
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Anethum graveolens
19	odditiona procumber	s Gaultheria fragrantissima
20	- Juniona Sylvesire	Gymnema hirsute
21	Indigofera tinctoria	Indigofera colutea and other species
22	Ixora coccinia	Ixora nigricans
23	Jasminum officinalis	Jasminum grandiflorum
24	Lobelia inflata	Lobelia erinus
25	Luffa acutangula var. a	mara Luffa acutangula
26	Michelia champaca	Michelia nilagirica
27	Mucuna pruriens	Mucuna hirsute
28	Ocimum basilicum	Ocimum other species
29	Oenothera biennis	Oenothera other species
30	Plantago major	Plantago erosa
31	Rauvolfia serpentina	Rauvolfia tetraphylla
32	Rosa damascena	Rosa other species
33	Ruta graveolens	Ruta chalapensis
34	Saraca asoca	Polyalthia longifolia
35	Solidago virgaurea	Solidago canadensis
36	Taraxacum officinale	Taraxacum javanicum
37	Thuja occidentalis	Thuja orientalis
38	Valeriana officinalis	Valeriana <mark>jatamansi &</mark> V. wallichii
39	Vinca minor	Vinca major
10	Vitex trifolia	Vitex negundo

4. Obscure plants

A few plants, though effectively proved by Homoeopaths have been left without complete names or description, some of these are cited below.

1. Atista indica

The name does not seem to appear in standard botanical literature. Nevertheless, there is a consensus of opinion that the plant is Glycosmis pentaphylla (Retz.) DC (Family: Rutaceae).

2. Menispermum

The plant whose generic name alone is mentioned by Boericke (1988), is Menispermum canadensis, which is an American plant and does not occur in India.

3. Luffa bindal

Ghose (1981) gives the name of this plant drug, which works out to Luffa echinata Roxb. (Suresh Baburaj, 1997).

4. Kamala

Whereas a number of plants in indigenous literature of India seem to have this name, the Homoeopathic medicinal plant, as gleaned from Clarke (1982) is Mallotus philippensis (Lam.) Muell-Arg. (Euphorbiacea) being the glandular red powdery excrescences on the surface of fruits (given as Rottlera tinctoria Roxb.). One more plant is also listed as being used viz., Croton coccineus Wall. (=Mallotus atrovirens Muell. - Arg.)

5. Kino australiense

This name occurs in Boericke (1988) but is clarified in Allen (1982) as Eucalyptus rostrata Schl., which again is now changed nomenclaturally as Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh. The Indian Kino is Pterocarpus marsupium Linn.

6. Chamomille

Both, the Roman Chamomille (Anthemis nobilis L.) and the German Chamomille (Matricaria chamomile L.) are useful in Homoeopathy. Hence while prescribing care should be taken to distinguish them.

7. Brugmansia

Two plants, superficially resembling each other are listed under this name (Clarke, 1982; Allen 1982). However, they are botanically different entities.

- i) Brugmansia suaveolens (Willd.) Bercht. & Presl
- ii) Datura arborea Linn. (=Brugmansia candida Pers.)

8. Cocculus indicus

This trade name is applicable to the plant *Anamirta* cocculus L. (Menispermaceae).

Conclusion

The importance of proper identification and selection of correct parts of plants in appropriate seasons, adhering to prescribed procedures, be it preparation of mother tinctures or any other pharmaceutical preparations, would ensure quality medicines with maximum therapeutic efficiency. Stability in botanical nomenclature would ensure research workers and other technical personnel in their search for research literature as well as to ensure whether the proper plant is utilized in each drug. Obscure and ambiguous names with regard to certain plant drugs have also been clarified and set right for proper usage in future.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Dr. Ramanand Shaw, Director, Central Council for Research in Homoeopathy, New Delhi for his constant encouragement and for facilities provided.

References

- Allen T.F. 1982 (Repr. Ed.) The Encyclopaedia of Pure Materia Medica. B.Jain Publishers, New Delhi
- Anonymous, 1986. Proceedings of the Regional Seminar on Medicinal Plants, Southern Region, Coimbatore, 20th - 22nd June. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Anonymous, 1971-90. Homoeopathic Pharmacopoeia of India. Controller of Publications, New Delhi 6 Volumes.
- Anonymous, 1979 (Repr. Ed.). Homoeopathic Pharmacopoeia of United States. American Institute of Homoeopathy, Virginia, USA.

- Bennet, S.S.R. 1987. Name Changes in Flowering Plants of India and Adjacent Regions - Triseas Publishers, Dehra Dun.
- Blackwood, A.L. 1906. A Manual of Materia Medica, Therapeutics and Pharmacology with Clinical Index. World Homoeopathic Links, New Delhi.
- Boericke, W. 1982 (Repr. Ed.) Pocket Manual of Materia Medica.
 B.Jain Publishers, New Delhi.
- Clarke, J.H. 1982 (Repr. Ed.). A Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica B.Jain Publisher, New Delhi.
- Dawre, M.S.; D. Suresh Baburaj and S. Rajan 1987. Nomenclatural changes in Indian Homoeopathic Medicinal Plants. J. Econ. Tax. Bot. 11(1) 217-223.
- 10. Dawre, M.S., D. Suresh Baburaj and S. Rajan 1987. A Check List of Homoeopathic Medicinal Plants of India. Central Council for Research in Homoeopathy, New Delhi.
- 11. Elias, T.P. 199. (Repr. Ed.). Text Book of Pharmacy for Students and Beginners. Kottayam, kerala.
- 12. Mandal, P.P. and Mandal, B. 1994. Text book of Homoeopathic Pharmacy. New Central Book Agency (P) Ltd., calcutta.
- 13. Matthew, K.M. 1969. The Exotic Flora of Kodaikanal and Palani Hills. Rec. Bot. Surv. India. 20(1): 1-241.
- 14. Matthew, K.M. 1999. The Flora of Palani Hills. 3 Vols. The Rapinat Herbarium, Tiruchirapalli.
- Muzumdar, K.P. 1991 (Repr. Ed.). Pharmaceutical Science in Homoeopathy and Pharmacodynamics. B. Jain Publishers, New Delhi.
- Rajan, S., H.C. Gupta and Sunil Kumar 1998. Additions to a Check List of Homoepathic Medicinal Plants of India. CCRH Quart. Bull. 20 (1&2): 25-30.
- Reddy, B. 1995. Practical Homoeopathic Medicine, Homoeopathic Center, Hyderabad.
- 18. Satyavati, G.V. 1986. Bottlenecks in Research on Medicinal Plans. Proceedings of the Regional Seminar on Medicinal Plants, Southern Region. Coimbatore, 20th-22nd June, 1986. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi, 36-38.
- Suresh Baburaj, D. and S.S. Nain 1992. Further Nomenclatural changes in Indian Homoeopathic Medicinal Plants. *Ancient Sci. Life* 11 (3 & 4) 118-125.
- 20. Suresh Baburaj, D. 1996. A Check List of Homoeopathic Medicinal Plants of India. Central Council for Research in Homoeopathy, New Delhi.